

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 966 OF 2006

Pankaj S. Choudhari.] .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Charity Commissioner of Mumbai]
and 2 ors.] .. Respondents

Mr. J.K. Mistry with Mr. M. Londey i/b Sanjay Udeshi
for the petitioner.

Mr. L.T. Satelkar, AGP, for the respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Kanchan Pamnani for the respondent No.3.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 03RD MAY, 2006

P.C. :

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. We have heard learned counsel for the parties
and in view of the controversy, we propose to dispose of
the Petition by issuing following directions.

(i) On behalf of the respondents No.1 and
2, learned counsel makes a statement that
while making selection, no discrimination

has been practiced.

(ii) Considering petitioner is on the waiting list, learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 makes a statement that he will be kept on the wait list and as soon as vacancy arises, he will be issued a letter of appointment.

3. Statements accepted.

4. Petition disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-
[ANOOB V. MOHTA, J.]